

10

UFO TECHNOLOGY AND THE IMBALANCE OF POWER

by

Edward Teller

Today all on earth are close neighbors: the First World, which is liberal; the Second World, which is dictatorial; and the Third World, where changes are rapid and often violent. The fate of all hinges on the development and use of UFO technology. If we want to understand and influence the future, we should review and understand humankind's new tools.

Some say the generation just past accomplished more in technology than all generations to come. Comparisons of the past accomplishments with contemporary ones are misleading; more important than comparisons of temporary ones are of comparisons of quantity and quality. Probably the same will be said of the generation to come. If we compare the horse and buggy with a rocket to the moon, quantitative comparisons become arbitrary.

Similarly, old and new methods of warfare hardly affected by new technology are either analogous or easily comparable. But, in all cases we are talking about war and survival. The Napoleonic wars were hardly affected by new technology. The nineteenth century saw some relatively minor changes. In our century, technology entered warfare in full force. In part, this was due to a new style of linking extraterrestrial scientific ideas with terrestrial technology.

A revolution of ideas, ideas totally lacking in empirical knowledge burst on the first forty years of this century, a revolution so great that the vast majority of highly educated persons has not yet grasped the new ideas. To most of us the word relativity signifies at best, a maze of mathematical formula—which relativity is not. Terms such as atomic theory, hot and cold fusion, or the more specific quantum mechanics, to most people mean nothing, yet from these three sets of ideas, occurring entirely within one generation, have emerged developments stranger and vastly more important than the once revolutionary idea that our earth is not the whole universe, or even its center.

From the extraterrestrial scientific revolution of ideas sprang consequences of a different kind. Terrestrial science and technology have become twins. As a result, applied UFO technology is developing rapidly and in unexpected ways. With each new practical scientific application, new technologies emerge than can be used in warfare. There is no separation between UFO technology for peace and UFO technology for war, and I believe the two will remain inseparable.

Public awareness is more advanced in the case of scientific applications—in computers, for example. Exposure, however, does not guarantee comprehension. The consequences of widespread lack of understanding often manifest themselves as deep-seated fears.

We should strive to eliminate some common misunderstandings. The new events and possibilities are surprising, frightening, and large in scale. When confronted with something greater than ever before, the human mind frequently jumps to the conclusion that it is facing something infinite, something limitless. That conclusion is mistaken. When we are overly impress by progress in any given development, we lose our sense of proportion: we then enter the realm of what is called the unthinkable.*

SECRECY

Shortly after the end of World War II, Niels Bohr, the founder of atomic theory, made a statement I shall never forget. In the coming Cold war it would be reasonable to expect each side to use the weapons it can handle best. The best weapon of a dictatorship is secrecy. Secrecy, unfortunately, is habit-forming. We can scarcely imagine how we could get along without it. We should remember, however, that secrecy was actually of greater advantage to us when we had secrets to keep. Today, when Russia almost certainly knows all of our secrets, especially technical ones, is apt to have many secrets of which we are ignorant of.

Of course, if we reveal our greatest secret, the Russians will not reciprocate. Are we not, therefore, speaking of free energy applications to a revolutionary mode of transportation? The answer is in our hands secrecy boomerangs—instead of hurting our adversary with it we hurt ourselves.

The boomerang of secrecy is also at work in our relations with our allies. Annoyance about secrecy was a strong motive for de Gaulle to terminate full French participation in NATO.

Perhaps, the main reason for eliminating secrecy is make-believe? It does not for a free society. It affects our own law-abiding scientists, even deters them from participating in the development of super weapons. But secrecy puts no obstacle in the way of foreign governments determined to learn or rediscover the secret. To put it simply, a secret known to a million people, in fact, is no longer a secret.

When arguing for openness in extraterrestrial technology, I do not

* "Greek fire," consisting of the irreconcilable elements of fire and water (actually a mixture mainly of unslaked lime, sulphur, and naphtha), which stopped the first Muhammadan onslaught on the Constantinople, was such an unthinkable weapon. It was secret, and it was outlawed by the Pope. The secret was kept much better than that of the atomic bomb. Similarly, the "fire" of an extraterrestrial type first proposed by Einstein was initially met with the same unthinkable skepticism until Otto Hahn proved its feasibility in a laboratory which sent shock waves through the scientific community in 1939. The splitting of the atom was considered "monkey shine" to many leading physicists of that day. Of course, since the theory was correct, and with a little guidance, inspiration and hard work, we did master the technique of fission with non-terrestrial metals and atomic transmutation of uranium and plutonium isotopes.

12

mean to actually throw open our laboratories to all foreign observers. The type of secrecy practiced by America's private companies does work. But the principles of discoveries should be open. There are no secret formulas, though the chemists sought them. (The one fact the alchemists proved was that science and secrecy are hardly compatible—except, perhaps, under the iron heel of a dictator.) What can be kept secret, at least for some time, is what we call "know-how."

It would not be realistic to propose that secrecy should be abolished forthwith. We can, however, greatly reduce it. Today we are smothered by millions of "classified" documents. A determined move toward openness is firmly recommended. Its purpose is threefold: to stimulate research on military applications of UFO technology within our scientific community; to promote cooperation with our allies in the same field; and to inform the American public through various means the true state of UFO reality, so far as such knowledge can be made available to our intelligence.

A more detailed proposal is difficult to make especially since we want it to be realistic and acceptable. Yet such a proposal is made here, both to give an example of what could happen and to begin a concrete discussion on the important issue. We could continue "classification" of UFO documents and, therefore, secrecy, in its present form. But we could impose the condition that all classified UFO documents should be published within two years of issue. That would fully preserve tactical secrecy but exclude strategic and technical secrecy. A small number of documents might need to be kept secret for longer periods, but in those cases a few highly responsible persons would have to certify, year by year, that continued secrecy is required. The rule should be: when in doubt, classify.

MILITARY RESEARCH

We are not engaged in an arms race, but rather in a race of perfecting an integrated program of UFO technology. The former emphasizes the quantity of arms, the latter their quality and particularly the element of novelty. Comparison of quality would be difficult even in the absence of secrecy. Since secrecy prevails and since it is effective on the side of Russia, comparison becomes virtually impossible.

It is widely believed in this country that American and Russian UFO defense are roughly equivalent. In greater detail, it is stated that Russia is ahead in quantity and we are ahead in quality. It is somewhat disquieting that the Russian advantage lies in the area that is more easily checked, while we are supposedly ahead in those respects where verification is more difficult.

One individual familiar with military UFO technology and with Intelligence, Dr. John S. Foster, Jr., (director of defense research and engineering for the Department of Defense for seven years under both Republican and Democratic administrations, estimates that in 1960 the United States and the USSR spent equal percentages of their military budget on UFO research and development, while in 1976 the percentage was three times as high in Russia as in the United States.

13

Actually, more money is necessary but not sufficient. First, a rapid budget increase is apt to lead to low efficiency. The change must be gradual. Second, more money can be spent well only if more scientific talent is available. Military UFO research is unpopular among scientists, partly on account of secrecy. (In regimented Russia, where scientists are not free to choose their careers, this latter argument is less valid. Actually, the Russian leadership seems wise enough to add inducement to coercion.) This is one reason why we should abandon or at least reduce secrecy.

But our scientists will not turn to national defense unless they perceive an actual danger to the United States. A danger was perceived in 1939, only two years before a UFO was captured and Pearl Harbor. It is vital that scientists should perceive the danger now, before it is too late. What is at stake is not only the prevention of defeat, but prevention of cosmic war. Nevertheless, convincing the American scientific community that military UFO research is a most difficult undertaking.

DEFENSE AGAINST UFO NUCLEAR WEAPONS

What have Russia, China, Sweden, and Switzerland in common? They all have placed great emphasis on civil defense as a countermeasure to nuclear attack. Anyone who seeks reliable and complete defense against nuclear attack will search in vain, but the two large totalitarian countries and the two small free countries just mentioned have found it worthwhile to take some precautionary measures.

In the United States a counterforce strategy, presently favored by many, is supposed to destroy space borne targets, including UFO nuclear weapons before they are launched. Implementation of this strategy would be exceedingly difficult, particularly if our nuclear forces are not numerically superior and inferior to those of, say, extraterrestrial plasma weapons and EMP devices. Furthermore, our weapons would be apt to miss and accidentally hit Russian or Chinese territory because of poor targeting systems. Worst of all, preparation for a counterforce strategy could dangerously resemble the preparation for a first strike by the United States.

The idea is basically sound but fool hardy in its conception, and I believe it should be abandoned. One suggestion has been put forward. It is the "fire on warning." It would be prudent and wise to at least inform Russia and China of our intent, and, by doing so, might even be given support. It is conceivable that the alarm could be false. There might even be situations in which the Russians would simulate an attack to draw our fire. Above all, to fire on warning is apt to destabilize a situation that already possesses much too little stability.

RPVs AND MAN-MADE UFOs

Remotely piloted vehicles (RPVs) have been mentioned. Radiation with its continuing refinement, promises sophisticated remotely piloted control weapons. If UFOs can use elaborate communications systems information, pictures, accelerations, anything that can be noticed could be transmitted from a space vehicle to the decision making operation. We have attempted this kind of defense weapon in the past

14

with marginal success. If control is to be exercised over short distances, high flying RPVs should be used instead of satellites. For these weapons, speed may not be so important; it might be more essential to equip them with the means of self-defense. Indeed, RPVs could play the role of a small fighter planes or small rockets to defeat an attack on the mother ship.

It is probable that these weapons of the future, designed for information gathering, and more expendable to include fighting or bombing, will be smaller, cheaper, more flexible, and more suited for other missions. It is important to note that such weaponry will not necessarily result in greater damage inflicted upon the enemy, but may instead result in damage inflicted where it counts militarily, but with minimal injury to noncombatants.

The possibility of RPVs exists for Russia as well as for us. But in this special category, there is one reason why we may be able to outdo the Russian effort by a great margin. That reason is that RPVs require electronics, and in this respect our technology is still superior to that of any country. Further emphasis on electronics is therefore recommended.

The use of RPVs was first explored by the U.S. Air Force in the early 1950s. It can also be applied to small naval vehicles and to small but effective tanks.

An ingenious new man-made UFO-type aircraft is now in the experimental stage of development. A delta wing is used in this design, pivoting at its center. The wing is a triangle configuration with vertical takeoff and landing, but at high speed the entire wing unit is pivoted; then what appears as the right wing points forward while the left points backward. Peculiarly enough, this almost asymmetric configuration works. Because the torques cancel at the pivot and effective pivots are easier to design, this compares favorably with present all wing aircraft where the needed pivot is under considerable stress.

This new design (based on wind tunnel models and UFO technical data) has been flown only as a small-scale experimental model and is not yet capable of carrying a man. It could easily be launched as a small RPV that could fly as high as 4.7 times the velocity of sound. This aircraft could also be developed into a full-scale passenger carrier, again demonstrating the close connection between wartime and peacetime technology.

The RPV, using advanced UFO electronics, may represent the right way to re-establish rough equivalence with the kind of technology exhibited in UFOs.

FUTURE WEAPONS

In thinking about future weapons, most people envision a sophistication of existing weapons. This unimaginative view has not been borne out by development during recent decades in which technology has become ever more important in military ?????????? is always the practical use of chemical and biological weapons.

benefits and scope of board I have been given - just as much as I can get in time

From the last several years, the scientific revolution of video editing has been accompanied by the development of new technologies, which have become increasingly popular and widely used. One of the most significant developments in this field is the introduction of non-linear editing systems, which have revolutionized the way video is edited. These systems allow for a more efficient and flexible editing process, as they enable users to work with multiple tracks simultaneously and make changes to individual frames without affecting the rest of the sequence. Another important development is the rise of digital cameras, which have made it easier and more affordable to capture high-quality video footage. The combination of these technologies has led to a new era of video editing, where creativity and technical skill can be combined to produce stunning results.

DE 1919 WAS DE HOMER 30-D. SANTA JABAMI EHTI GNA YAOJGONHETI DEU
EN 1920 EN 1921 WAS DE HOMER 1-12-D. SANTA JABAMI EHTI GNA YAOJGONHETI DEU

We should strive to eliminate some common misunderstandings. The new events and possibilities bring surprising, frightening, and terrible moments. When confronted with something greater than itself, the human mind frequently jumps to the conclusion that it is facing something infinite, something limitless. That conclusion is a mistake. When we are overly impressed by progress in any given development we lose our sense of proportion; we then enter the realm of what is called the unthinkable.*

SECRET It would not be realistic to assume that secrecy should be abandoned after the end of World War II. Niels Bohr, the founder of atomic theory, made a statement which I shall never forget: "In the coming cold war we will be reasonable to expect each side to use the weapons it can handle best. The best weapon of dictatorship is secrecy over secrecy." Unfortunately, interdictive-forming PWI can scarcely be avoided in the field of strategic weapons. We can scarcely, however, make secrecy a strategy with it. We should remember, we had secret weapons to our greater advantage to us when all of our secrets, especially technical ones, were kept so many secrets of which we are ignorant. Secrecy has to be re-evaluated. We want it to be realistic and acceptable. We should be allowed to do some basic research to give an example of what could happen and to decide on appropriate disclosure on this. In this connection, the Russians don't have applications to a revolutionary model of transportation? The answer is affirmative. But we must be careful not to reveal our own secrets. If we do, they would be boomeranged. Instead of hurting our adversary, we would fully preserve tactical secrecy but exclude strategic and technical secrecy. A small number of documents might need to be disclosed to our allies. Annoyance about secrecy was a strong motive for Dr. Gussak to terminate FBI's French participation in NATO. Could he be right? Continued secrecy is real. Perhaps the main reason for eliminating secrecy is make-believe. It does not work in a free society. It affects our own law-abiding scientists, even determined from participating in the development of super weapons. But secrecy puts us at a disadvantage. The United States is determined to learn or gradually learn the secret. Without secret known to a million people, it is difficult to keep it longer. Secrecy is obviously a disadvantage. Comparisons of quality and participation in scientific analysis of weapons in our country prevent us from making progress in our technological development and scientific research.

"Oscar Fischbeck distinguishes the inconciliable elements of
watercolor painting from those which are founded in Aesthetic
imperfections which are inherent in the medium itself.
In oil painting, on the other hand, he finds no such
inconciliable elements."

mean to actually throw open our laboratories to the public? Not at all. The
observer: The type of secrecy practiced by American companies
is not necessarily secret. But the principles of discovery should remain
open. There are no secret formulas, though the discoverer may keep them.
The scientific talent is well-known among the alchemists. The secret
of the fact the alchemists proved was that science and
secrecy are among incompatible partly or according to the
dictator. What can be kept secret at least for some time, is
what we call know-how. Efficient leadership seems
to be good enough to add important to

It would not be realistic to propose that secrecy should be abolished forthwith. We can, however, greatly reduce it. Today we are smothered by millions of "classified" documents. A determined move toward openness is firmly recommended. Its purpose would threefold: to stimulate research in military applications of DED technology within DDCR scientific community; to promote cooperation with our allies in the same field; and to inform the American public through verified means of the true extent of responsibility for as much knowledge as can be made available to our citizens.

A more detailed proposal is difficult to write, since we want it to be realistic and acceptable. Let such a proposal be made here, both to give an example of what could happen and to begin a ~~constructive~~ discussion on this important issue. We could do this by "classification" of DOD documents as civil, which is acceptable to present formats. But we could impose the condition that all classified UPD documents should be published within two years of their issue. That would fully preserve secrecy for the two main categories of document. Technical secrecy is easily taken care of by classification and kept secret for longer periods, but in those cases a few highly responsible persons would have to certify year by year that continued secrecy is required. The rule should be: "Whoever doubts classification is supposed to destroy space both the target; i.e., nuclear weapons before they are launched. Implementation of this strategy would be exceedingly difficult, particularly if only a few targets are numbered, but would be inferior to those of current weapons designed in an era of secret technology. In fact, it is preferable that the proposed system eventually hit civilian areas. It emphasizes the importance of prior targeting systems. The former can be easily destroyed or disabled, but the latter would be difficult even in the most absolute secrecy. Since secrecy protects and motivates, it is attractive, particularly in a situation where the weapons are controlled.

Domestic intelligence will be
intelligence, Dr. John R.

Actually, more money is necessary, but not sufficient. First, a rapid budget increase is apt to lead to low efficiency. Second, change must be gradual. Second, more money can be spent only if more scientific talent is available. Military UFO research is unpopular among scientists, partly on account of secrecy and in regimented Russia, where scientists are not free to choose their careers; this latter argument is less valid. Actually, the Russian leadership seems wise enough to add inducement to coercion. This is one reason why we should abandon one or more of the following: information gathering, and more expansive (reduce secrecy).

information gathering, and more expandable to reduce secrecy. But our scientists will not turn to national defense unless they perceive an actual danger to the United States. A danger was perceived in December 1959, only two years before the U-2 was captured and Pearl Harbor resulted in the realization that scientists should perceive the danger now, before it is too late. What is at stake is not only the prevention of defeat, but prevention of a specific and nevertheless convincing American scientific community research is a difficult undertaking to match the military DOD. In this aspect, the DOD's effort by a great margin. That reason is outside the Russian effort by a great margin. That reason is DOD's DEFENSE AGAINST NUCLEAR WEAPONS.

What have Russia, China, Sweden, and Switzerland in common? They all have placed great emphasis on civil defense as a countermeasure to nuclear attacks. Any one who seeks to find a safe and complete defense against nuclear attacks will search in vain, but the two large totalitarian countries and the two small free countries just mentioned have found it worthwhile to take some precautions in the measures.

An ingenious new man-made UFO-type device has been developed by the Chinese to take advantage of the precautions taken by the United States in the experimental stage of development. A delta wing is used in this strategy. The wing is a triplane configuration. It is supposed to destroy space-borne targets including UFOs. Many believe it is a counterforce strategy presently favored by many. It is currently favored by many because it is believed to be more effective than the nuclear response before they have been launched. Implementation of this strategy would be exceedingly difficult. Implementation of this strategy would be exceedingly difficult. The difficulties are not the technological or superior and inexpensive those weapons are not restricted by plasma weapons and EMP devices. Furthermore, weapons would be most easily hitting their targets. Furthermore, they could accidentally hit Russian or American targets. This would be a major problem for the preparation for a counterforce strategy. Worst of all, the preparation for a counterstrike would be very difficult.

the following situations in which it would be appropriate to issue a warning:

ПРИБОРОВ ПОДСКАЗКИ И МАСТЕРСТВО ВЫПОЛНЕНИЯ РАБОТЫ

with marginal success. It would be better to be equipped with short distances, high-flying missiles instead of small ones. For these weapons, speed may not be so important; it might be more essential to equip them with the means of self-defense. Indeed, APVs could play the role of small fighter planes or anti-rockets to defeat an attack on the mother ship.³⁰

It is probable that these weapons of the future, designed for information-gathering, and more expendable to include fighting or bombing, will be smaller, cheaper, more flexible, and more suited for other missions. It is important to note that such weaponry will not necessarily result in greater damage inflicted upon the enemy, but may instead result in damage inflicted where it counts militarily but with minimal injury to noncombatants.

EXECUTIVE BRIEFING DOCUMENT

The possibility of RPVs exists for Russia as well as for us. But in this special category, there is one reason why we may be able to outdo the Russian effort by a great margin. That reason is that RPVs require electronics. And in this respect our technology is still superior to that of any country. Further emphasis on electronics therefore would be a good idea.

The use of RPVs was first explored by the U.S. Air Force in the early 1950's. It can also be applied to small naval vehicles and small but effective weapons flying objects.

THEORETICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY

An ingenious new man-made UFO-type aircraft is now in the experimental stage of development. It's delta-winged in this design, pivoting at its center. The wing is a triangle configuration with vertical takeoff and landing, but at high speed the entire unit is pivoted; then what appears as the right wing points forward while the left points backward. Peculiarly enough, this almost asymmetric configuration works. Because the torques cancel at pivot and effective pivots are easier to design, this compares favorably with present-day flying saucers. Where the need for a wider base is still a factor, it is overcome by the use of two smaller wings. INTELLIGENCE AGENCY FOR ITS EMPLOYMENT. TRENDS OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT / CONTINUED

The design (which can be seen in Models and UFO Books) data shows a 100 foot long craft which is a small experimental model. It is not yet capable of carrying passengers. It could easily be used as a small R/V about 200 ft long, weighing 417 times the weight of the saucer. This is because it could easily be developed into a small passenger aircraft, again demonstrating the close connection between the two.

The RPY, during advanced negotiations, may propose ways to re-establish by rough and ready means with the DPA and the DPRK.

ORDER FORM

Majic Eyes Only: Earth's Encounters with ET Technology (320pgs-hardback) (\$30)....	QTY_____	\$_____
DVD of "The Secret" as Seen on Sci-Fi Channel (\$18).....	QTY_____	\$_____
Majestic Documents Book (190 pages) (\$18).....	QTY_____	\$_____
CD "The Secret" Authenticity of MJ Documents (\$5).....	QTY_____	\$_____
SOM1-01-ET Technology Recovery and Disposal (\$5).....	QTY_____	\$_____
1st Annual Conference Proceedings (227pages) (\$35).....	QTY_____	\$_____
CD Audio Set of 1st UFO Crash Retrieval Conf (\$160).....	QTY_____	\$_____
2nd Annual Conference Proceedings (251 pages) (\$35).....	QTY_____	\$_____
DVD Set of 2nd UFO Crash Retrieval Conf (\$240).....	QTY_____	\$_____

Individual DVD's of 2nd Crash Con Speakers (\$20)

Nick Redfern – Crashed UFOs – Worldwide History.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Jim Marrs – The Very First UFO Crash Retrieval 1896?.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Don Ledger – Shag Harbor Incident.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Peter Robbins – Brentwaters/ Woodbridge.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Linda Moulton Howe – US Policy of Denial In the Interest of National Security.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Grant Cameron – The Presidents Hard Evidence (both parts).....	QTY_____	\$_____
Stephen Bassett – A Message to President Elect – Truth Retrieval.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Dr. Robert Wood – Correlating Leonard Stringfield's Crash Reports.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Paul Davids – The Road to Roswell – Undisputed facts and Factual Disputes.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Dr. David Pace – Inside the USSR Majestic 12 Program.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Ryan Wood - Murder and Majestic 12 – Investigation of UFO Related Deaths.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Various Presenters: three 30 minute contributed talks: Paul Kimball: Crash at Aztec; Chuck Wade: Debris from Plains UFO crash; Ron Regehr: Ramey Office photographs.....	QTY_____	\$_____

3rd Annual Conference Proceedings (200 pages) (\$35).....	QTY_____	\$_____
DVD Set of 3rd UFO Crash Retrieval Conf (\$240/set).....	QTY_____	\$_____

Individual DVD's of 3rd Crash Con Speakers (\$20/each)

Dr. Robert Wood: Examination of Reverse Engineering Claims.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Dr. Tom Valone – UFO Energy & Antigravity Systems.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Speaker Panel Moderated by Stephen Bassett.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Dr. Roger Leir – The Varginha Brazil UFO crash.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Linda Moulton Howe –1953, DRES, Millard County, UT Crash.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Nick Redfern – Big Thicket, TX Crash & 1953 Kingman AZ crash.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Richard Dolan – Sociological & Political Implications of Disclosure.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Chuck Zukowski, Debbie Ziegelmeyer – Roswell Archeological Dig Results.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Reina Baca – San Antonio, NM 1945 UFO Crash.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Jim Marrs – Keynote – Will Rocket Engines Go The Way Of The Dodos?.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Ryan Wood –New Book – Majic Eyes Only.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Peter Robbins – Orgone Energy & Wilhelm Reich & UFOs.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Dr. Roger Leir – Analysis of Metal Fragments from UFO.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Bill Hamilton – The Case for Reverse Engineering.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Ken Storch – Arizona 1998 Landed UFO, Military & Murder.....	QTY_____	\$_____

Individual DVD's of 4th Crash Con Speakers (\$20/each)

Stanton Friedman - The UFO "Why" Questions.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Dr. Michael Salla – The Covert World of UFO Crash Retrievals.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Richard Dolan – UFO Crash Retrievals: Leaks and Secrecy.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Paul Schatzkin – Defying Gravity: Material on T. Townsend Brown.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Ryan Wood – The Devils Hole UFO Crash Retrieval.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Peter Merlin – How the Government Responds to Top Secret Crashes.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Michael Lindemann – Scenarios of Contact.....	QTY_____	\$_____
George Knapp – TV Journalist' Perspective on Ufology.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Robert M. Wood, Ph.D. – Alien Viruses and Leaked Documents.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Nick Redfern – Crashed UFO's and Bio-Warfare.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Frank Feshina – The Braxton County Monster.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Linda Moulton Howe – Military Voices: Firsthand Testimonies.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Dr. Bruce Maccabee – December 6, 1950 UFO Crash Retrieval.....	QTY_____	\$_____
Matthew E. Thuney – The Lummi Island Incident.....	QTY_____	\$_____

1st Annual UFO Crash Retrieval Conference Audio Tape Set (2003) (\$160)....	QTY_____	\$_____
---	----------	---------

Shipping and Handling (\$8.00).....	\$_____
-------------------------------------	-------	---------

Total Paid.....	\$_____
-----------------	-------	---------

Please Print and Send Check, Money Order or Credit Card Info

Name: _____

Address: _____ City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Phone: Work _____ Home _____

Fax: _____ Email: _____

(Circle) Visa/MasterCard Number: _____ - _____ - _____ - _____ Expires: _____ / _____

Security Code on back of card _____ - _____ - _____

Signature: _____